

#	Question	Asker Name	Asker Email
1	Kind of a meta question: the methods of judgement aggregation you discussed were all methods that treated agents judgements as fixed and then plugged those fixed judgements into a function that aggregated them. In many (most?) cases of groups, the groups come to have doxastic states in an interactive way that includes both deliberation and non-deliberative things (like executions of social power) that can change individual members' opinions. What do you think of the prospects for a theory of judgement aggregation that takes those factors into account?	Dan Singer	
2	Could you say a bit more about some of the complications that arise from treating aggregation in terms of credences? I find that move quite compelling.	Ben Nelson	<a href="mailto:bsnelson@uwaterloo.ca">bsnelson@uwaterloo.ca</a>
3	I will ask the obvious question— where can we get that map?	John Greco	<a href="mailto:john.greco@georgetown.edu">john.greco@georgetown.edu</a>
4	Thanks for a great presentation! I don't know this literature at all so it is super helpful. Can you say more about group credences? It seems like just as Arrow's theorem was an impossibility result for ordinal but not cardinal rankings, this is also an impossibility result for bivalent values but not for degree-theoretic values (e.g., if premise 1 is taken to be merely 2/3 true and premise 2 is also 2/3 true and the conclusion is 1/3 true, then there is no incoherence). Whereas cardinal preferences seem sketchy, cardinal credences seem more initially benign. So I'm curious to hear more about the problems for that approach.	Avram Hiller	<a href="mailto:ahiller@pdx.edu">ahiller@pdx.edu</a>
5	(Oh - Ben Nelson asked that too, mine is just a wordier way of putting the same request.)	Avram Hiller	<a href="mailto:ahiller@pdx.edu">ahiller@pdx.edu</a>
6	Could you say a bit more on problems with relaxing the Universality constraint? I am thinking in particular of putting some epistemological constraints on agendas. For instance, one suggestion would be to take into aggregation only propositions that individuals know, or perhaps justifiably believe ? Thanks	Arturs Logins	<a href="mailto:arturslog@gmail.com">arturslog@gmail.com</a>