

## Keynesian Logical and Probabilistic Notation

09/14/07

Branden Fitelson

Keynes uses notation for logical and probabilistic expressions that will be foreign to most modern readers. The following table contains some expressions from Keynes's text, along with our modern equivalent:

Keynesian Notation	Modern Meaning and Notation
$\phi(x), f(x)$	Open monadic predicate-logical atoms. We'll use $Fx, Gx, etc.$ , instead.
$\phi(a_1), f(a_2)$	Closed monadic predicate-logical atoms. We'll use $Fa, Gb, etc.$ , instead.
$g(\phi, f)$	Simple monadic universal claim. We'll use $(\forall x)(Fx \supset Gx), etc.$ , instead.
$g(\phi_1\phi_2, f)$	Universal claim with conjunctive antecedent. $(\forall x)[(F_1x \& F_2x) \supset Gx]$ .
$g(\phi, f_1f_2)$	Universal claim with conjunctive consequent. $(\forall x)[Fx \supset (G_1x \& G_2x)]$ .
$\bigwedge_{a_1, \dots, a_n} (\phi)$	Conjunction of $n$ closed monadic atoms. We'll use $Fa_1 \& \dots \& Fa_n$ , instead.
$\overline{\bigwedge}_{a_1, \dots, a_n} (\phi)$	Assertion that, among $n$ objects, at least one of them has $F$ and at least one of them lacks $F$ . We will use: $(Fa_1 \vee \dots \vee Fa_n) \& (\sim Fa_1 \vee \dots \vee \sim Fa_n)$ .
$p \cdot q$ (or $pq$ )	Conjunction of two sentences $p$ and $q$ . We'll use $p \& q$ , instead.
$\overline{p}$	Negation of a sentence $p$ . We'll use $\sim p$ , instead.
$p/qr$	$\text{Pr}(p \mid q \& r)$ , where $p, q$ , and $r$ are closed sentences.

For next week, the technical part of our discussion will focus on what Keynes says about the contribution of various sorts of instantial evidence to the "*a priori*" probability of a universal generalization. In his notation, we'll be focusing on probabilities like:  $g(\phi, f)/\phi(a).f(a)$ ,  $g(\phi, f)/\overline{\phi(a)}.f(a)$ , and  $g(\phi, f)/\overline{\phi(a)}.f(a)$ . Of course,  $g(\phi, f)/\phi(a).f(a) = 0$ , since  $\phi(a).f(a)$  entails  $g(\phi, f)$ . In our notation, these probabilities are:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Pr}[(\forall x)(Fx \supset Gx) \mid Fa \& Ga] \\ & \text{Pr}[(\forall x)(Fx \supset Gx) \mid \sim Fa \& Ga] \\ & \text{Pr}[(\forall x)(Fx \supset Gx) \mid \sim Fa \& \sim Ga] \end{aligned}$$

Keynes is interested, mainly, in probabilistic *relevance*. As such, he's interested in *comparing* the sorts of conditional probabilities, above, with their unconditional values (or values conditional on some "*a priori*" corpus  $K_{\uparrow}$ ). This anticipates much of the subsequent literature on confirmation theory.

Before we get into the technical material in the Keynes readings, I will say a few things about (1) Keynes's remarks on the history of induction (chapter 23), (2) Keynes's discussion of "causes" (Notes on Part III, pages 275-277), and (3) Keynes's response to Humean-style "circularity" charges (chapter 22, esp. pages 259-260).