# PHIL 424: Practice Mid-Term 

October 16, 2014

The actual mid-term eaxm will have the same structure as this practice mid-term. You will have the full class period on Tuesday (10/21) to complete the actual exam. You may use a calculator.

## 1 Proving A Probability Theorem Algebraically

Prove the following theorem, by (a) translating it into algebra (using the stochastic truthtable below), and then (b) showing that the resulting algebraic inequality must be true (assuming, as always, that $a, b, c, d$ are each on $[0,1]$ and that they sum to one).

Theorem 1. $\operatorname{cr}(X \supset Y) \geq \operatorname{cr}(Y \mid X)$.
Please use the following stochastic truth-table to prove Theorem 1.

| $X$ | $Y$ | $\mathrm{cr}(\cdot)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $a$ |
| $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $b$ |
| $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $c$ |
| $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $d$ |

## 2 Finding a Probability Distribution

Find a probability distribution (i.e., an assignment of numbers to $a, b, c, d$, which are each on $[0,1]$ and which sum to one - as in the above stochastic truth-table) which satisfies the following three constraints. Explain how you found the solution, and why it is correct.

1. $\operatorname{cr}(X \supset Y)=\operatorname{cr}(Y \mid X)$.
2. $\operatorname{cr}(X)=1 / 2$.
3. $\operatorname{cr}(Y)=5 / 8$.

## 3 Verifying Properties of a Probability Distribtion

Here is a (stochastic truth-table representation of a) probability distribution over the algebra generated by the three atomic sentences $H, E, K$.

| $H$ | $E$ | $K$ | $\operatorname{cr}(\cdot)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $a:=49 / 256$ |
| $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $b:=1 / 16$ |
| $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $c:=31 / 256$ |
| $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $d:=1 / 8$ |
| $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $e:=31 / 256$ |
| $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $f:=1 / 8$ |
| $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $g:=17 / 256$ |
| $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $h:=3 / 16$ |

Use this table to verify the following three claims about this distribution. ${ }^{1}$

1. $\operatorname{cr}(H \mid E)>\operatorname{cr}(H)$.
2. $\operatorname{cr}(H \mid E \& K)<\operatorname{cr}(H \mid K)$.
3. $\operatorname{cr}(H \mid E \& \sim K)<\operatorname{cr}(H \mid \sim K)$.

## 4 Proving Another Probability Theorem Algebraically

Prove the following theorem, by (a) translating it into algebra (using the stochastic truthtable below), and then (b) showing that the resulting algebraic statement must be true (assuming, as always, that $a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h$ are each on $[0,1]$ and that they sum to one).

Theorem 2. If $\operatorname{cr}(X \mid Y \& Z)=1$, then $\operatorname{cr}(X \mid Y) \geq \operatorname{cr}(Z \mid Y)$.
Please use the following stochastic truth-table to prove Theorem 2.

| $X$ | $Y$ | $Z$ | cr $(\cdot)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $a$ |
| $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $b$ |
| $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $c$ |
| $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $d$ |
| $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $e$ |
| $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $f$ |
| $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $g$ |
| $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $h$ |

[^0]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ This is a case in which (1) $E$ is positiviely relevant to $H$, unconditionally; but, (2) $E$ is negatively relevant to $H$, conditional upon $K$ and (3) $E$ is negatively relevant to $H$, conditional upon $\sim K$. What is this kind of case called? Hint: it's got "paradox" in the name.

